

## GTZ-Barugo LGU Workshop

### SAC planning outputs

Areas for SAC	Objectives of proposed action	Space for engagement	Information needs of CGs	Possible partners	Facilitating and hindering cultural factors	Possible benefits from action	Possible risks from action	LGU and their key roles	Time frame for proposed action
<b>1. Planning</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Inputs from BDC's during LIGA meeting</li> <li>▪ Inputs from POs, NGOs during People's Congress</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Data gathered from the CBMS</li> <li>▪ Needs based data as output from focus group discussion/consultation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Functional MDC</li> <li>▪ Periodic consultation: ABC-monthly Twice a year NGOs and CSOs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Resolution from the LIGA with the list of prioritize PPAs of various barangays</li> <li>▪ Inclusion of the agenda – POs and NGOs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Farmers</li> <li>▪ Fisherfolks</li> <li>▪ Educators</li> <li>▪ Youths</li> <li>▪ Religious organization</li> <li>▪ Drivers association – (TODA)</li> <li>▪ Food delicacy makers</li> <li>▪ Senior citizens group</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Ningas-kugon attitude</li> <li>▪ Full participation if their needs were met</li> </ul> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-recognition, merit/award system</li> <li>-regularity of consultation</li> <li>-newsletter</li> <li>-provide financial assistance to the sector</li> <li>-organize ad hoc committee for recognition, merit and award system wherein such group are represented</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Semblance of ownership to proposed activity/plan</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Self serving interests (political ambition, political insecurities)</li> <li>▪ High expectations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ DILG assists in making BDP</li> <li>▪ MPDO – lead agency</li> <li>▪ CSOs – facilitate community assemblies</li> <li>▪ All department heads</li> </ul>	<p>January to June - draft guidelines, rules; pass policy</p> <p>July – community assemblies</p>
<b>2. Budgeting</b> <p>More CSOs, POs, NGOs attending budget hearing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ To be able to invite more CSOs, POs, NGO and have a more</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Executive Order (proposed)</li> <li>▪ MOA (Proposed)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Orientation of CSOs,POs, NGOs their role in budgeting</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ BFCC</li> <li>▪ ASCMC</li> <li>▪ Marginalized sectors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Reluctance of COs, POs and NGOs to participate</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Inclusion of the Programs of CSOs, POs and NGOs in budgeting</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Delayed submission of budget</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Mayor – issues Executive Order to all CSOs, POs and</li> </ul>	<p>May – Issuance of EO, Consultations and Orientation with CSOs, POs and NGOs</p>

Areas for SAC	Objectives of proposed action	Space for engagement	Information needs of CGs	Possible partners	Facilitating and hindering cultural factors	Possible benefits from action	Possible risks from action	LGU and their key roles	Time frame for proposed action
	transparent budget hearing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MDC</li> </ul>						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NGOs</li> <li>Sanggunian – pass authority to enter into agreement</li> <li>BO – facilitate the activities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>June – Signing of MOA</li> <li>July – August – Budgeting Process</li> </ul>
<b>3. Expenditure</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participation of POs, CSOs and private groups or NGOs during the opening of bids</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To be transparent during the opening of bids</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RA 9184</li> <li>JMC No. 1 series of 2007</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation on rationale of citizens engagement in the bidding process</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Member of PICE</li> <li>Private sector</li> <li>PICPA</li> <li>PO's</li> </ul> <p>*less no. of accredited POs and CSOs</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lack of interest due to their own personal schedule</li> <li>No personal being derived</li> <li>Notion that their is already a predetermined winner in the bid</li> </ul> <p>*the law itself does not impose strict attendance or participation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Enhanced transparency</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Citizen involvement may be superficial</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BAC validates/ examines technical and financial document of bidders</li> <li>Read the bid</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>July 2009</li> </ul>
<b>4. Performance monitoring</b> <p>Conduct annual participatory assessment for each of the five (5) Performance Areas in the LGPMS.</p>	<p>To generate citizens feedback on performance of the LGU</p>	MDC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Orientation LGPMS/SLGR</li> <li>Simplified rather than technocratic data analysis (waray-waray translation)</li> </ul>	MDC members	<p>Facilitating – Cooperative</p> <p>Hindering- Lack of mature perceptions of CSOs role on GG and SAC</p>	<p>Enhanced interactions between LGU and citizens.</p>	<p>Not substantive participation of citizens groups</p> <p>Unfamiliarity about the LGPMS/SLGR</p>	<p>LCE – Issue communication to conduct Participatory Assessment of LGPMS/SLGR.</p> <p>LGPMS TEAM –</p>	<p>January-February</p> <p>- Gather and consolidate data for feeding into the LGPMS from the data capture forms</p>

Areas for SAc	Objectives of proposed action	Space for engagement	Information needs of CGs	Possible partners	Facilitating and hindering cultural factors	Possible benefits from action	Possible risks from action	LGU and their key roles	Time frame for proposed action
								Present the LGPMS/SLGR to the MDC  MDC- Facilitate the Participatory Assessment	1 <sup>st</sup> to 2 <sup>nd</sup> week of March – Data validation  3 <sup>rd</sup> week – submission of SLGR to SB, SP and copy furnish DILG
Publication of LGPMS/SLGR results in LGU Newsletter and LGU Website	To generate citizens feedback on performance of LGU especially for constituents and CGs outside the locality	Local Circulation Bulletin Boards Subscriptions LGU Website	LGU Newsletter in English and Waray-Waray  - Graphical presentation of LGPMS results in LGU website with interactive features to help get feedback through email and online forum	Community e-Center CORE Group.  CSOs POs NGOs Cooperatives	Facilitating – Cooperative culture  Hindering- <i>“Ningas Kugon”</i> attitude of LGU technical personnel	Enhanced interactions between LGU and citizens	Local editorial staff not trained for newsletter packaging and preparation  Irregular updating of LGPMS website contents	LCE – Issue EO for the creation of LGU Editorial staff and LGU Website Team developer and management  Editorial Staff– Prepare newsletter contents  CSOs- Give feedback and suggestions about contents of newsletter and LGU website	January to February - Gather and consolidate data for feeding into the LGU Newsletter/ Website.  1 <sup>st</sup> to 2 <sup>nd</sup> week of March – Data validation  3 <sup>rd</sup> week March– Preparation and printing of LGU Newsletter Uploading of data into LGU website